Case Name:	Case #:
Attachmer	nt - AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
<u>- 1000 011110</u>	Check any boxes that apply to your case
☐ Running of the Statute of Limita The plaintiff has a limited amount of tin Below are common time limits:	tions ne to sue you from the date the incident (they are suing you about) happened.
a. Personal Injury b. Oral Contract c. Written Contract d. Property Damage	2 years from the injury or discovery (if injury in 2003).2 years from the date the contract is broken.4 years from the date the contract is broken.3 years from when the damage happened.
For more information, see California Co	de of Civil Procedure sec. 340.
based. Each cause of action has certain plaintiff has not written any part of their	nuse of action. A cause of action is a legal theory upon which a lawsuit can be n parts that must be written in the complaint and proved at trial. If you think the r cause or causes of action, you can use this defense.
another," he or she may be held to wha	They are based on the concept that if someone "says one thing but does at was first said. If the plaintiff told or promised you something regarding the relied upon the statement or promise, but plaintiff failed to honor it, these
	court seeking justice to do justice themselves. This concept is called "clean taken advantage of his or her own wrong doing in relation to the lawsuit, you may
	to enforce their rights. If the plaintiff waited a long time to file a lawsuit, without the delay has made it harder for you to defend the case, this defense may apply
break a year long lease, a landlord is re	noney from you if they could have prevented the damage. For example, if you equired to find a new tenant as soon as possible. You may still be responsible for the unit was not rented. But the landlord cannot just wait out the year and then 's rent.
☐ Unjust Enrichment You should use this defense if giving the receiving more money than he/she is en	e plaintiff the amount they request in the lawsuit would result in the plaintiff ntitled to.
☐ Prevention of Performance and <i>I</i> If you were prevented from doing your flood, storm), these affirmative defense	part of the contract either by the plaintiff or a natural occurrence (earthquake,
released from paying the claim when yo	ou are being sued for was included in your bankruptcy, you may have been our bankruptcy case was over. Check with your bankruptcy attorney to find out if released by the bankruptcy court. Include the bankruptcy case information case number.

rev. 8/03 Page 1 of 3

Case Name:	Case #:
☐ Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies You may use this defense if the plaintiff was supposed to pubefore suing you. This defense is most commonly used by	ursue different administrative avenues that they failed to do government agencies or businesses.
☐ Failure to Pursue ADR You may use this defense if the person suing you failed to raffirmative defense, you may want to file a petition for ADR	
☐ Lack of Privity There was no contract or agreement between you and the person suing you.	person suing you and the debt was not properly transferred to
☐ Statute of Frauds The "Statute of Frauds" law requires many different types of Statute of Frauds, but if you think the claim the plaintiff is should've been in writing, this defense may apply to you.	of contracts be in writing. There are some exceptions to the buing you for arose out of an agreement not in writing, that
	riting, the written contract takes priority over whatever else is e based on a verbal statement that contradicts, or falls outside ense.
☐ Frustration of Purpose Enforcement of the actual contract would go against the pu in the first place.	rpose of the agreement you made with the person suing you
☐ Failure of Condition Precedent Sometimes one party's performance of a contract is depend If the plaintiff was required to do certain things before you failed to do his or her job, you may raise this defense.	ant on the other party first performing his or her obligations. had to do other things under the contract, and the plaintiff
☐ Breach by Plaintiff The person suing you broke their end of the end of the con-	tract first, so you were excused from performing your part.
☐ Anticipatory Repudiation The person suing you pulled out of the contract before you	had a chance to perform your part of the contract.
upon which the lawsuit is based says that the winning side	s asked for reimbursement of attorney's fees but there is no
☐ Improper Notice of Breach You may use this defense if the plaintiff did not tell you that defense, notice must be required and the failure of the other opportunity to fix the problem.	
☐ Offset You may use this defense if the plaintiff owes you money.	
□ Usury You may use this defense if the plaintiff is charging higher i	nterest than the law allows.

Page 2 of 3

Case Name:	Case # :
 Accord and Satisfaction 	
You may use this defense if you and the plainti for and you have paid the lower amount.	ff agreed to settle the claim for a lower amount than the lawsuit is asking
CONTRACT FOR SERVICES ONLY	
☐ Failure of Consideration You may use this defense if the person suing you	ou never performed the services that they are suing you for.
☐ Lack of Consideration The services provided by the person suing you unfair.	are so poor that any further payment to them would be unreasonable and
☐ Breach of Express Warranty This defense applies if the person suing you fai	led to honor a promised or written warranty for services.
☐ Breach of Implied Warranty The services provided by the person suing you	fail to meet the custom and standard within the industry.
CONTRACT FOR GOODS ("Things") ONLY	
☐ Failure of Consideration You may use this defense if the goods or produ	acts you bought from the person suing you are completely defective.
☐ Lack of Consideration If the goods you purchased are so defective that	at the enforcement of the contract would be unreasonable.
☐ Breach of Express Warranty This defense applies if the goods you purchase warranty period and the plaintiff failed to make	d were defective, you requested their repair within the written or promised the repair.
☐ Breach of Implied Warranty The goods purchased from the person suing yo to return the goods but the person refused to a	ou could not be used for the purpose for which they were sold. You tried accept them.
the person or entity that transferred the claim t	_aw ods or property that served as collateral on a loan was sold. Plaintiff, or to plaintiff, is not entitled to sue for extra money after the sale of the not allow for a deficiency judgment, or because there was improper notice
☐ Failure to Act in a Commercially Reasor The person who is suing you failed to follow the goods.	nable Manner e procedures required by the California Commercial Code in the sale of
CONTRACT BASED ON LOANING MONEY	
☐ Contract Void as Against Public Policy Money loaned by person suing you was given in awarding illegal conduct.	n violation of the law. Allowing the person to recover the money would be

rev. 8/03 Page 3 of 3